(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO 869 /2006 Single Bench

PETITIONERS :: Deo Pratap Tirky, S/o. Shri Marcus Tirky, Aged about 47 years, Assistant Professor, (Sociology) Govt. College, Sarangarh, R/o. Bawakuti (Sanhaspur), Post Sarangarh, Tahsil Sarangarh, District Raigarh (C.G.)

P.R. No. 917/06
Presented by Shri R.S. Rajput
dated 20/12/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of chhattisgarh through Secretary, Higher Educatyion Department, D.K.S.Bhawan, Mantralay, Raipur (C.G.).
2. The Commissioner, Higher Educatiion, Directorate, Govt. Science College Campus, Raipur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE COUNSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CEERTIORARI, MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OR WRITS, DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS, ORDER OR ORDERES ETC:

The petitioner above-named most respectfully submits as under:-

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 869/06 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
d	**2-3-2006** Shri R.S. Patel, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Satish Gupta, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has filed this petition on the ground that increment has not been granted to him though the same has been given to other persons as per Annexure-P/11 dated 21-7-2000. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner submitted representation as per Annexure-P/8 and sent legal notice as per Annexure-P/9. Learned counsel for the parties submit that for the present the petitioner may be given an opportunity of filing a detailed representation afresh to the competent authority of the respondents, which shall be considered on its own merits in accordance with law. Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation afresh raising his grievances before the competent authority of the respondents alongwith a copy of the petition and this order within a month from today and on such a representation being filed the same shall be considered and decided by the competent authority of the respondents on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within three months from the date of production of the representation. If required the petitioner may also be heard. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. **Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge**	

9.3.06